Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation 4:2 (2012), 33-39



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/faac

Spectral properties of *m*-isometric operators

Muneo Chō^a, Schôichi Ôta^b, Kôtarô Tanahashi^c, Atsushi Uchiyama^d

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kanagawa University, Hiratsuka 259-1293, Japan ^bDepartament of Content and Creative Design, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 815-8540, Japan ^cDepartament of Mathematics, Tohoku Pharmaceutical University, Sendai 981-8558, Japan ^dDepartment of Mathematical Science, Faculty of Science, Yamagata University, Yamagata 990-8560, Japan

Abstract. We study spectral properties of an *m*-isometric operator and show that an *m*-isometric operator has the single valued extension property. Also we show if an m-isometric operator T is invertible and paranormal, then *T* is a unitary operator. Next we give a new proof of $T^*T - I \ge 0$ if *T* is a 2-isometry.

1. Introduction

J. Agler and M. Stankus introduced an *m*-isometry [1], [2] and [3]. Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space

and $B(\mathcal{H})$ be a set of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Let ${}_{m}C_{k}$ be the binomial coefficient. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be an *m*-isometry if $\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{k} {}_{m}C_{k} T^{*m-k}T^{m-k} = 0$. It is known that an isometry (m = 1)

admits Wold decomposition and has many interesting properties. *m*-Isometries are not only a natural extension of an isometry, but they are also important in the study of Dirichlet operators and some other classes of operators. For an operator T, we denote

$$B_m(T) = T^{*m}T^m - {}_mC_1 \cdot T^{*m-1}T^{m-1} + \dots + (-1)^{m-1} \cdot I.$$

Hence *T* is an *m*-isometry if and only if $B_m(T) = 0$.

Agler and Stankus [1] proved the following interesting result. If T is an *m*-isometry, then $B_{m-1}(T) \ge 0$ ([1], Proposition 1.5). We think their proof of $B_{m-1}(T) \ge 0$ is fantastic. First we extend some results obtained by S.M. Patel [6] to *m*-isometric operators. Next we give a new proof of $B_1(T) \ge 0$ for a 2-isometric operator *T*. This is a known result, however we think our proof would be interesting.

Let $\sigma(T)$ and r(T) be the spectrum and the spectral radius of *T*, respectively. Also let $\mathbf{T} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$. For an *m*-isometry *T*, the following theorem holds.

Keywords. Hilbert space; operator; m-isometry; spectrum; single valued extension property.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A62, 47A63.

Received: July 1, 2012; Accepted: August 20, 2012

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

This research is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid Scientific Research No.24540195^a, No.20540178^b and No.20540184^c.

Email addresses: chiyom01@kanagawa-u.ac.jp (Muneo Chō), ota@design.kyushu-u.ac.jp (Schôichi Ôta),

tanahasi@tohoku-pharm.ac.jp (Kôtarô Tanahashi), uchiyama@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp (Atsushi Uchiyama)

Theorem A [1]. Let *T* be an *m*-isometry. Then the following assertions hold. (1) If *z* is an approximate eigenvalue of *T*, then $z \in \mathbf{T}$. (2) If *T* is invertible, then $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbf{T}$. (3) If *T* is not invertible, then $\sigma(T) = \{z : |z| \le 1\}$.

2. *m*-isometry

Theorem 1. For an m-isometry T the following statements hold.
(a) If a is an eigenvalue of T, then ā is an eigenvalue of T*.
(b) Eigenvectors of T corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.
(c) If a is an approximate eigenvalue of T, then ā is an approximate eigenvalue of T*.
(d) If a, b are distinct approximate eigenvalues of T, and {x_n}, {y_n} sequences of unit vector

(d) If *a*, *b* are distinct approximate eigenvalues of T, and $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ sequences of unit vectors such that $(T - a)x_n \longrightarrow 0$ and $(T - b)y_n \longrightarrow 0$, then $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \longrightarrow 0$.

Proof.

(a) Let Tx = ax ($x \neq 0$). Then it holds

$$0 = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {}_{m}C_{j}T^{*(m-j)}T^{m-j}\right) x = (aT^{*} - 1)^{m}x.$$

Since |a| = 1, we have $(T^* - \overline{a})^m x = 0$ and hence \overline{a} is an eigenvalue of T^* . (b) Let a, b be distinct eigenvalues of T and x, y be corresponding eigenvectors. It holds

$$0 = \left\langle \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {}_{m}C_{j}T^{*(m-j)}T^{m-j} \right) x, y \right\rangle = (a\overline{b} - 1)^{m} \langle x, y \rangle.$$

Since $a \neq b$ and |b| = 1, $a - b = (a\overline{b} - 1)b$ and $a\overline{b} - 1 \neq 0$. Hence, $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. (c) Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of unit vectors such that $\lim(T - a)x_n = 0$. Then since

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {}_{m}C_{j}T^{*(m-j)}T^{m-j}\right) x_{n} = 0,$$
$$0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {}_{m}C_{j}T^{*(m-j)}T^{m-j}\right) x_{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (aT^{*} - 1)^{m}x_{n}.$$

Therefore, since |a| = 1,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a^m (T^* - \bar{a})^m x_n = 0$$

and \overline{a} is an approximate eigenvalue of T^* . (d) Since

$$0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\langle \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{j} {}_{m} C_{j} T^{*(m-j)} T^{m-j} \right) x_{n}, y_{n} \right\rangle = (a\overline{b} - 1)^{m} \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle x_{n}, y_{n} \rangle$$

we have $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \longrightarrow 0$. \Box

An operator *T* is said to have the single valued extension property if, for every open subset \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{C} , an analytic function $f : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ satisfies $(T - \lambda)f(\lambda) = 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{U}$, then $f(\lambda) = 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{U}$. Uchiyama and Tanahashi [7] studied the spectral condition (d) in Theorem 1 and proved that if *T* has the spectral condition (d), then *T* has the single valued extension property. Hence we have the following result.

Theorem 2. An *m*-isometric operator *T* has the single valued extension property.

An operator *T* is called paranormal if $||Tx||^2 \le ||T^2x||$ for all unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$. It is well known that if *T* is paranormal, then r(T) = ||T||. Moreover, if there exists T^{-1} , then T^{-1} is also paranormal. The following lemma can be easily obtained. For the completeness, we give a proof.

Lemma 1. Let *T* be invertible and paranormal. If $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbf{T}$, then *T* is a unitary operator.

Proof. Since ||T|| = r(T) = 1, $T^*T \le I$. Therefore, $T^{*-1}T^*TT^{-1} \le T^{*-1}T^{-1}$. Hence, $I \le T^{*-1}T^{-1}$. Since T^{-1} is paranormal and $\sigma(T^{-1}) \subset \mathbf{T}$, $||T^{-1}|| = r(T^{-1}) = 1$, that is, $T^{*-1}T^{-1} \le I$. Therefore, $T^{*-1}T^{-1} = I$ and $T^*T = I$. Since *T* is invertible, *T* is a unitary operator. □

If *T* is an invertible *m*-isometry, then $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbf{T}$. Hence, we have the following result.

Theorem 3. *Let T be an m-isometry. If T is invertible and paranormal, then T is a unitary operator.*

Theorem 3 holds for a *-paranormal operator. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *-paranormal if

 $||T^*x||^2 \le ||T^2x||||x|| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$

Theorem 4. Let *T* be an *m*-isometry. If *T* is invertible and *-paranormal, then *T* is a unitary operator.

For the proof of Theorem 4, we prepare the following.

Theorem B [8]. Let T be *-paranormal. Then ||T|| = r(T). Moreover, if T is invertible, then $||T^{-1}|| \le r(T^{-1})^3 \cdot r(T)^2$.

First we give other result.

Lemma 2. Let T be invertible and satisfy

 $||T^2x||^3 \le ||T^3x||^2 \cdot ||x|| \text{ for every } x \in \mathcal{H}.$

Then $||T||^2 \le ||T^{-1}|| \cdot r(T)^3$.

Proof. By the definition of *-paranormality, we have

$$\begin{split} \|T^{2}x\|^{3} &\leq \|T^{3}x\|^{2} \cdot \|x\|, \\ \|T^{3}x\|^{3} &\leq \|T^{4}x\|^{2} \cdot \|Tx\|, \\ \|T^{4}x\|^{3} &\leq \|T^{5}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{2}x\|, \\ \|T^{5}x\|^{3} &\leq \|T^{6}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{3}x\|, \\ \|T^{6}x\|^{3} &\leq \|T^{7}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{4}x\|, \\ &\vdots \\ \|T^{n}x\|^{3} &\leq \|T^{n+1}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{n-2}x\|, \\ \|T^{n+1}x\|^{3} &\leq \|T^{n+2}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{n-1}x\| \\ \|T^{n+2}x\|^{3} &\leq \|T^{n+3}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{n}x\|. \end{split}$$

Therefore, for $n \ge 2$,

$$||T^{2}x||^{2} \cdot ||T^{n+1}x|| \cdot ||T^{n+2}x|| \le ||x|| \cdot ||Tx|| \cdot ||T^{n+3}x||^{2}$$

Next it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{2}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{3}x\| \cdot \|T^{4}x\| &\leq \|x\| \cdot \|Tx\| \cdot \|T^{5}x\|^{2}, \\ \|T^{2}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{4}x\| \cdot \|T^{5}x\| &\leq \|x\| \cdot \|Tx\| \cdot \|T^{6}x\|^{2}, \\ \|T^{2}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{5}x\| \cdot \|T^{6}x\| &\leq \|x\| \cdot \|Tx\| \cdot \|T^{7}x\|^{2}, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T^{2}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{n+1}x\| \cdot \|T^{n+2}x\| \le \|x\| \cdot \|Tx\| \cdot \|T^{n+3}x\|^{2}, \\ & \|T^{2}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{n+2}x\| \cdot \|T^{n+3}x\| \le \|x\| \cdot \|Tx\| \cdot \|T^{n+4}x\|^{2}, \\ & \|T^{2}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{n+3}x\| \cdot \|T^{n+4}x\| \le \|x\| \cdot \|Tx\| \cdot \|T^{n+5}x\|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $n \ge 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{2}x\|^{2(n+1)} \cdot \|T^{3}x\| \cdot \|T^{4}x\|^{2} &\leq \|x\|^{n+1} \cdot \|Tx\|^{n+1} \cdot \|T^{n+4}x\| \cdot \|T^{n+5}x\|^{2} \\ &\leq \|x\|^{n+1} \cdot \|Tx\|^{n+1} \cdot \|T^{n+1}x\| \cdot \|T^{n+1}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T\|^{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{2}x\|^{2} \cdot \|T^{3}x\|^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \cdot \|T^{4}x\|^{\frac{2}{n+1}} &\leq \|x\| \cdot \|Tx\| \cdot \|T^{n+1}x\|^{\frac{3}{n+1}} \cdot \|T\|^{\frac{11}{n+1}} \\ &\leq \|x\| \cdot \|Tx\| \cdot \|T^{n+1}\|^{\frac{3}{n+1}} \cdot \|x\|^{\frac{3}{n+1}} \cdot \|T\|^{\frac{11}{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n \to \infty$, we have

 $||T^{2}x||^{2} \le ||x|| \cdot ||Tx|| \cdot r(T)^{3}.$

Let *x* be $T^{-1}y$. Therefore, we have

 $||Ty||^{2} \le ||T^{-1}y|| \cdot ||y|| \cdot r(T)^{3}$

and $||T||^2 \le ||T^{-1}|| \cdot r(T)^3$. \Box

If T is *-paranormal, then

$$||Tx||^{4} = (T^{*}Tx, x)^{2} \le ||T^{*}Tx||^{2} \cdot ||x||^{2} \le ||T^{3}x|| \cdot ||Tx|| \cdot ||x||^{2}.$$

Therefore, it holds

(*) $||Tx||^3 \le ||T^3x|| \cdot ||x||^2$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

If *T* is invertible and *-paranormal, by (*) then we have

 $||T^{-2}x||^3 \le ||x|| \cdot ||T^{-3}x||^2 \text{ for every } x \in \mathcal{H}.$

Let $S = T^{-1}$. Then the operator *S* satisfies

 $||S^2 x||^3 \le ||S^3 x||^2 \cdot ||x|| \text{ for every } x \in \mathcal{H}.$

Therefore, it holds $||S||^2 \le ||S^{-1}|| \cdot r(S)^3$.

Hence by Lemma 2, we have

Lemma 3. Let *T* be invertible and *-paranormal. Then

$$||T^{-1}||^2 \le ||T|| \cdot r(T^{-1})^3.$$

By Theorem B and Lemma 3, for an invertible *-paranormal operator *T* we have

$$||T^{-1}|| \le r(T^{-1})^3 \cdot r(T)^2 = r(T^{-1})^3 \cdot ||T||^2.$$

Thus it holds

$$||T^{-1}||^3 \le ||T||^3 \cdot r(T^{-1})^6$$
$$||T^{-1}|| \le ||T|| \cdot r(T^{-1})^2.$$

and

Proof of Theorem 4. Since *T* is invertible and *m*-isometric,
$$\sigma(T) \subset \mathbf{T}$$
. Hence, by Theorem B, $||T|| = 1$. Since *T* is invertible and *-paranormal, then $||T^{-1}|| = 1$ by Lemma 3. So it holds $||T|| = ||T^{-1}|| = 1$. Hence *T* is a unitary operator. \Box

Remark 1. There exists an invertible *-paranormal operator *T* such that $r(T^{-1}) < ||T^{-1}||$ (cf. [8]).

3. 2-isometry

In this section, we give a new proof of $T^*T - I \ge 0$ if *T* is a 2-isometry. For this we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4. For a constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} {}_{m}C_{k} (1 + (m-k)a) = 1 + ma.$$

Proof. We have

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} {}_{m}C_{k} = 1 - \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{k} {}_{m}C_{k}\right) = 1 - (1-1)^{m} = 1$$

and

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} {}_{m}C_{k} (m-k) = -m \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} {}_{m}C_{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} {}_{m}C_{k}$$
$$= m + \sum_{k=1}^{m} m (-1)^{k} {}_{m-1}C_{k-1} = m + m \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{j+1} {}_{m-1}C_{j}$$
$$= m - m \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{j} {}_{m-1}C_{j} = m - m(1-1)^{m-1} = m. \Box$$

Lemma 5. Let *T* be a 2-isometry. If $T^*Tx = (1 + a)x$ for some constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and a non-zero vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$, then, for every $m \ (m \ge 2)$,

$$T^{*m}T^mx = (1+ma)x.$$

Proof. Since *T* is a 2-isometry, it holds

$$T^{*2}T^2x = 2T^*Tx - x = (1+2a)x.$$

Hence, it holds for m = 2. We assume $T^{*k}T^kx = (1 + ka)x$ for k = 2, ..., m - 1. It is easy to see that *T* is an *m*-isometry for every $m \ge 2$. Since

$$T^{*m}T^{m} = -\sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k} {}_{m}C_{k} T^{*(m-k)}T^{m-k},$$

by Lemma 4 it holds

$$T^{*m}T^m x = \left(-\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k {}_m C_k T^{*(m-k)}T^{m-k}\right) x$$
$$= \left(-\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k {}_m C_k (1 + (m-k)a)\right) x = (1 + ma) x.$$

By induction, the proof is complete. \Box

Theorem 5. Let T be a 2-isometry. Then $T^*T - I \ge 0$.

Proof. We assume $T^*T - I \ge 0$. Since the operator $T^*T - I$ is hermitian, there exist a < 0 and a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of unit vectors such that $(T^*T - I - a)x_n \longrightarrow 0$ $(n \longrightarrow \infty)$. By Berberian's method [4], we can assume that there exists a non-zero vector x such that $(T^*T - I - a)x = 0$. Hence we have $T^*Tx = (1 + a)x$. Since T is a 2-isometry, by Lemma 5 it holds

$$T^{*m}T^m x = (1 + ma)x$$
 for every $m \ge 2$.

Since a < 0 and $||T^m x||^2 = (1 + ma)||x||^2$, it's a contradiction for some large $m \in \mathbb{N}$. \Box

Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1 [6]. Let T be a 2-isometry. If T is power bounded, then T is an isometry.

Proof. By the above theorem, $T^*T - I \ge 0$. Suppose *T* is power bounded. If *T* is not an isometry, there is a > 0 such that $a \in \sigma(T^*T - I)$. Therefore, similarly as in the proof of Theorem 5, we have $||T^m|| \ge \sqrt{1 + ma}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This is a contradiction to our assumption. \Box

Remark 2. An operator *T* is called concave if $T^{*2}T^2 - 2T^*T + I \ge 0$. Then by [5], it holds $T^{*m}T^m \ge mT^*T - (m-1)I$ for every $m \ge 2$. Hence, for a concave operator *T* if $T^*Tx = (1 + a)x$ for some constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and a non-zero vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$, then $||T^mx||^2 \ge (1 + ma)||x||^2$ $(m \ge 2)$. Hence, a power bounded concave operator *T* is a contraction, i.e., $T^*T \le I$.

Patel proved that a 2-isometry similar to a spectraloid operator (the spectral radius coincides with the numerical radius, i.e., r(T) = w(T)) is an isometry ([6], Corollary 2.6). We have the following result.

Theorem 6. Let T be a 2-isometry. If the range of T is dense, then T is a unitary operator.

Proof. Since $(T^*T - I)^2 \ge 0$ and $2T^*T - I = T^{*2}T^2$,

$$0 \le (T^*T - I)^2 = (T^*T)^2 - 2T^*T + I = (T^*T)^2 - T^{*2}T^2 = T^*(TT^* - T^*T)T^*$$

Since the range of *T* is dense, we have $TT^* - T^*T \ge 0$. Hence, T^* is hyponormal and $||T|| = ||T^*|| = r(T^*) = r(T^*)$ r(T) = 1. By Corollary 2.6 of [6], T is an isometry. Since T is an isometry, the range of T is closed and hence *T* is a unitary operator. \Box

The following result is a direct consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 2 [1, Proposition 1.23]. If T is an invertible 2-isometry, then T is a unitary operator.

References

- [1] J. Agler and M. Stankus, m-Isometric transformations of Hilbert space I, Integr. Equat. Oper. Theory, 21(1995), 387-429.
- [2] J. Agler and M. Stankus, *m-Isometric transformations of Hilbert space II*, Integr. Equat. Oper. Theory, 23(1995), 1-48.
 [3] J. Agler and M. Stankus, *m-Isometric transformations of Hilbert space III*, Integr. Equat. Oper. Theory, 24(1996), 379-421.
- [4] S.K. Berberian, Approximate proper vectors, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 13(1962), 111-114.
- [5] M. Chō, T. Nakazi and T. Yamazaki, Hyponormal operators and two-isometry, Far East Math. Sci., 49 (2011), 111-119.
- [6] S.M. Patel, 2-Isometric operators, Glasnik Mat., 37 (2002), 143-147.
- [7] A. Uchiyama and K. Tanahashi, Bishop's property (β) for paranormal operators, Operators and Matrices, 3(2009), 517-524.
- [8] A. Uchiyama and K. Tanahashi, A note on + paranormal operators and related classes of operators, preprint.