



## Generalized Jordan derivations on Frechet algebras

Ismail Nikoufar<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, P.O. Box 19395-3697 Tehran, Iran

**Abstract.** In this paper, we investigate generalized Jordan derivations on Frechet algebras. Moreover, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability and superstability of generalized Jordan derivations on Frechet algebras. An important issue is so that we do not assume that the Frechet algebra is unital.

### 1. Introduction

Frechet algebras, named after Maurice Frechet, are special topological algebras. A topological algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Frechet algebra if it satisfies the following properties:

- (1) it is complete as a uniform space;
- (2) its topology may be induced by a countable family of submultiplicative semi-norms  $\|\cdot\|_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

This means that a subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is open if and only if for every  $u$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  there exist a positive integer  $K$  and a nonnegative real number  $c$  such that  $\{v : \|u - v\|_k < c, 0 \leq k \leq K\}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Note that the topology on  $\mathcal{A}$  can be induced by a translation invariant metric, i.e., a metric  $\rho : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(x + a, y + a)$  for all  $a, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

A classical question in the theory of functional equations is the following: *When is it true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation  $\zeta$  must be close to an exact solution of  $\zeta$ ?* If the problem accepts a solution, we say that the equation  $\zeta$  is stable. There are cases in which each 'approximate mapping' is actually a 'true mapping'. In such cases, we call the equation  $\zeta$  superstable. The first stability problem concerning group homomorphisms was raised by Ulam [24] in 1940. We are given a group  $\mathcal{G}$  and a metric group  $\mathcal{G}'$  with metric  $d$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$  satisfies  $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$ , then a homomorphism  $h : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$  exists with  $d(f(x), h(x)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{G}$ ? Ulam problem was partially solved by Hyers [17]. Let  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  be a mapping between Banach spaces such that

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B52; Secondary 39B52, 46H25.

*Keywords.* Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability; generalized derivation; generalized Jordan derivation; Frechet algebra.

Received: 27 May 2013; Accepted: 21 June 2013

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

This research was supported by a grant from Payame Noor University, Iran.

*Email address:* [nikoufar@pnu.ac.ir](mailto:nikoufar@pnu.ac.ir) (Ismail Nikoufar)

for all  $x, y \in E$ , and for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $T : E \rightarrow E'$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Also, if for each  $x$  the function  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $E'$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $T$  is linear. If  $f$  is continuous at a single point of  $E$ , then  $T$  is continuous everywhere in  $E$ . Moreover (1) is sharp.

In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [21] formulated and proved the following theorem, which implies Hyers Theorem as a special case. Suppose that  $E$  and  $E'$  are real normed spaces with  $E'$  complete,  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  is a mapping such that for each fixed  $x \in E$  the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and that there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (2)$$

for all  $x, y \in E$ . Then there exists a unique linear mapping  $T : E \rightarrow E'$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon\|x\|^p}{1 - 2^{p-1}}$$

for all  $x \in E$ . In 1990, Th. M. Rassias [22] during the 27th International symposium on functional equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for  $p \geq 1$ . In 1991, Z. Gajda following the same approach as in Th. M. Rassias [21], gave an affirmative solution to this question for  $p > 1$ . It was proved by Gajda [14], as well as by Th. M. Rassias and Semrl [23] that one can not prove a Th. M. Rassias type theorem when  $p = 1$ . In 1994, P. Gavruta [15] provided a further generalization of Th. M. Rassias Theorem in which he replaced the bound  $\varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  in (2) by a general control function  $\varphi(x, y)$  for the existence of a unique linear mapping. Badora [2] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of ring homomorphisms and generalized the result of Bourgin. Miura [20] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of Jordan homomorphisms. For more details about the results concerning stability of functional equation on Banach algebras, the reader refer to [3], [5], [8], and [10].

During the last decades several stability problems of functional equations have been investigated by many mathematicians. A large list of references concerning the stability of functional equations can be found in [1], [4], [6], [16], [18], and [19].

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra. A linear mapping  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation (in the usual sense)  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)b + ad(b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Also, a linear mapping  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a generalized Jordan derivation if there exists a Jordan derivation (in the usual sense)  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\delta(a^2) = \delta(a)a + ad(a)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . The stability of derivations was studied by Park in [13]. M. Moslehian [11] investigated the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized derivations from a unital normed algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to a unit linked Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. M. Eshaghi et al. [9] proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability and superstability of generalized Jordan derivations from a unital normed algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  to a unit linked Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule.

In this paper, our aim is to establish the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized Jordan derivations on Frechet algebras associated with the following functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a-b}{2}\right) = f(a).$$

Note that for our methods there is no need to assume that the Frechet algebra is unital (see [9] and [11]).

## 2. Superstability

Throughout this paper, it is assumed that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arbitrary Frechet algebra equipped with a metric  $\rho$  such that  $\rho(2^n x, 0) = 2^n \rho(x, 0)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and all nonnegative integers  $n$ . It is clear that  $\rho(2^n x, 0) = 2^n \rho(x, 0)$  holds true for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and all integers  $n$ .

In this section, we prove the superstability of generalized Jordan derivations on Frechet algebras. For given mappings  $f, g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , we define the difference functions  $D_\mu f, D_\mu g : \mathcal{A}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$D_\mu f(a, b, c) := \rho\left(f\left(\frac{\mu a + \mu b}{2} + c^2\right) + f\left(\frac{\mu a - \mu b}{2}\right), \mu f(a) + f(c)c + cg(c)\right),$$

$$D_\mu g(a, b, c) := \rho(g(\mu a^2 + \mu b + \mu c), \mu g(a)a + \mu ag(a) + \mu g(b) + \mu g(c))$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$  and all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We need the following lemma in our main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** [12] *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be linear spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an additive mapping such that  $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Then the mapping  $f$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear.*

We now commence our work with the following superstability problem for generalized Jordan derivations in Frechet algebras.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $p, q < 1$  or  $p, q > 1$  and  $\varepsilon$  be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a mapping for which there exists a map  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with  $g(0) = f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$D_\mu f(a, b, c) \leq \varepsilon \rho(f(c), 0)^{2p}, \tag{3}$$

$$D_\mu g(a, b, c) \leq \varepsilon (\rho(a, 0)^{2q} + \rho(b, 0)^q + \rho(c, 0)^q) \tag{4}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Then  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a generalized Jordan derivation.

*Proof.* Assume that  $p, q < 1$ . By putting  $c = 0$  and  $\mu = 1$  in (3) we get

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{a-b}{2}\right) = f(a) \tag{5}$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . Letting  $\frac{a+b}{2} = w_1$  and  $\frac{a-b}{2} = w_2$  in (5) we conclude that  $f$  is additive. Setting  $b = c = 0$  and  $\mu = 1$  in (3) we obtain

$$f\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(a) \tag{6}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $b = c = 0$  in (3) and apply (6) to deduce that  $f(\mu a) = \mu f(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Now Lemma 2.1 implies  $f$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. Putting  $a = b = 0$  in (3) we get

$$\rho(f(c^2), f(c)c + cg(c)) \leq \varepsilon \rho(f(c), 0)^{2p} \tag{7}$$

for all  $c \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replace  $c$  by  $2^n c$  and multiply both sides of (7) by  $\frac{1}{2^{2n}}$  to get

$$\rho\left(\frac{f(2^{2n}c^2)}{2^{2n}}, \frac{f(2^n c)2^n c}{2^{2n}} + \frac{2^n cg(2^n c)}{2^{2n}}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n}} \rho(f(2^n c), 0)^{2p}$$

for all  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and nonnegative integers  $n$ . Hence

$$\rho\left(f(c^2), f(c)c + c \frac{g(2^n c)}{2^n}\right) \leq \varepsilon \left(\frac{2^p}{2}\right)^{2n} \rho(f(c), 0)^{2p} \tag{8}$$

for all  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and nonnegative integers  $n$ . Letting  $n$  tend to  $\infty$  in (8) we conclude that

$$f(c^2) = f(c)c + c \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n c)}{2^n}$$

for all  $c \in \mathcal{A}$ . By Hyers' Theorem, the sequence  $\{\frac{g(2^n c)}{2^n}\}$  is convergent. Set  $d(c) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n c)}{2^n}$  for all  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and so

$$f(c^2) = f(c)c + cd(c) \tag{9}$$

for all  $c \in \mathcal{A}$ . We now claim that  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a Jordan derivation. Putting  $a = 0$  and replacing  $b, c$  by  $2^n b, 2^n c$ , respectively and multiplying both sides of (4) by  $\frac{1}{2^n}$  we get

$$\rho\left(\frac{g(\mu 2^n b + \mu 2^n c)}{2^n}, \mu \frac{g(2^n b)}{2^n} + \mu \frac{g(2^n c)}{2^n}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} (\rho(2^n b, 0)^q + \rho(2^n c, 0)^q) \tag{10}$$

for all  $b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using Lemma 2.1 we find that  $d$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. Letting  $b = c = 0$  and  $\mu = 1$  in (4) we get

$$\rho(g(a^2), g(a)a + ag(a)) \leq \varepsilon \rho(a, 0)^{2q} \tag{11}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Replace  $a$  by  $2^n a$  and multiply both sides of (11) by  $\frac{1}{2^{2n}}$  to get

$$\rho\left(\frac{g(2^{2n} a^2)}{2^{2n}}, \frac{g(2^n a)}{2^n} a + a \frac{g(2^n a)}{2^n}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n}} \rho(2^n a, 0)^{2q} \tag{12}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and all nonnegative integers  $n$ . Hence by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (12) we conclude that  $d$  is a Jordan derivation. It then follows from (9) that  $f$  is a generalized Jordan derivation. Similarly, one can replace  $c$  in (7) by  $\frac{c}{2^n}$  and multiply both sides by  $2^{2n}$  to obtain the result for the case where  $p, q > 1$ .  $\square$

It is clear that a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Frechet algebra and its metric is induced by its norm and so by Theorem 2.2 we may solve the following superstability problem for generalized Jordan derivations on Banach algebras.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and let  $p, q < 1$  or  $p, q > 1$  and  $\varepsilon$  be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a mapping for which there exists a map  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with  $g(0) = f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\|f\left(\frac{\mu a + \mu b}{2} + c^2\right) + f\left(\frac{\mu a - \mu b}{2}\right) - \mu f(a) - f(c)c - cg(c)\| \leq \varepsilon \|f(c)\|^{2p}, \tag{13}$$

$$\|g(\mu a^2 + \mu b + \mu c) - \mu g(a)a - \mu a g(a) - \mu g(b) - \mu g(c)\| \leq \varepsilon (\|a\|^{2q} + \|b\|^q + \|c\|^q) \tag{14}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Then  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a generalized Jordan derivation.

### 3. Stability

In this section we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of generalized Jordan derivations.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a mapping for which there exist a map  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with  $g(0) = f(0) = 0$  and a function  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \varphi(2^i a, 0, 0) < \infty, \tag{15}$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \varphi(2^i a, 2^i b, 2^i c) = 0, \tag{16}$$

$$\max\{D_{\mu} f(a, b, c), D_{\mu} g(a, b, c)\} \leq \varphi(a, b, c) \tag{17}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Then there exists a unique generalized Jordan derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\rho(\delta(a), f(a)) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a) \tag{18}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from (17) that

$$D_\mu f(a, b, c) \leq \varphi(a, b, c), \tag{19}$$

$$D_\mu g(a, b, c) \leq \varphi(a, b, c). \tag{20}$$

By putting  $b = c = 0$  and  $\mu = 1$  in (19) we get

$$\rho\left(2f\left(\frac{a}{2}\right), f(a)\right) \leq \varphi(a, 0, 0) \tag{21}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . If we replace  $a$  by  $2a$  and multiply both sides of (21) by  $\frac{1}{2}$  we get

$$\rho\left(\frac{f(2a)}{2}, f(a)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2a, 0, 0) \tag{22}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now we can use induction on  $n$  to show that

$$\rho\left(\frac{f(2^n a)}{2^n}, f(a)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^i} \varphi(2^i a, 0, 0) \tag{23}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and all nonnegative integers  $n$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{f(2^{n+m} a)}{2^{n+m}}, \frac{f(2^m a)}{2^m}\right) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{i+m}} \varphi(2^{i+m} a, 0, 0) \\ &= \sum_{i=m+1}^{n+m} \frac{1}{2^i} \varphi(2^i a, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and all nonnegative integers  $n, m$  with  $n \geq m$ . It follows from (15) that the sequence  $\{\frac{f(2^n a)}{2^n}\}$  is Cauchy. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is complete this sequence converges. Set

$$\delta(a) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n a)}{2^n}. \tag{24}$$

Putting  $c = 0, \mu = 1$  and replacing  $a, b$  by  $2^n a, 2^n b$ , respectively and multiplying both sides of (19) by  $\frac{1}{2^n}$  we get

$$\rho\left(\frac{f(2^n(\frac{a+b}{2}))}{2^n} + \frac{f(2^n(\frac{a-b}{2}))}{2^n}, \frac{f(2^n a)}{2^n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n a, 2^n b, 0) \tag{25}$$

for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and all nonnegative integers  $n$ . Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we find that  $\delta$  is additive. Letting  $b = c = 0, \mu = 1$  and replacing  $a$  by  $2^n a$  and multiplying both sides of (19) by  $\frac{1}{2^n}$  we get

$$\rho\left(\frac{2f(\frac{2^n a}{2})}{2^n}, \frac{f(2^n a)}{2^n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n a, 0, 0) \tag{26}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and all nonnegative integers  $n$ . Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (16) we obtain

$$\delta(a) = 2\delta\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \tag{27}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Letting  $b = c = 0$  in (19) and using (27) we get  $\delta(\mu a) = \mu\delta(a)$  and so Lemma 2.1 implies  $\delta$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. Moreover, it follows from (23) and (24) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  that

$$\rho(\delta(a), f(a)) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a) \tag{28}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is known that the additive mapping  $\delta$  satisfying (18) is unique. Putting  $a = b = 0$  and replacing  $c$  by  $2^n c$  and multiplying both sides of (19) by  $\frac{1}{2^{2n}}$  we get

$$\rho\left(\frac{f(2^{2n}c^2)}{2^{2n}}, \frac{f(2^n c)}{2^n}c + c\frac{g(2^n c)}{2^n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{2n}}\varphi(0, 0, 2^n c) \tag{29}$$

for all  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and all nonnegative integers  $n$ . By (24) and (16) the sequence  $\{\frac{g(2^n c)}{2^n}\}$  is convergent. Set  $d(c) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n c)}{2^n}$  for all  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and let  $n$  tend to  $\infty$  in (29) to find that

$$\delta(c^2) = \delta(c)c + cd(c). \tag{30}$$

It remains to prove that  $d$  is a Jordan derivation. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2 and we omit it.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a mapping for which there exist a map  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with  $g(0) = f(0) = 0$  and a function  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that satisfying (17) and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \varphi(2^i a, 2^i b, 2^i c) < \infty \tag{31}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Then there exist a unique generalized Jordan derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and a function  $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\rho(\delta(a), f(a)) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a) \tag{32}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Put  $\tilde{\varphi}(a) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \varphi(2^i a, 0, 0)$ . The result follows from (31) and Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $p < 1$  and  $\varepsilon$  be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a mapping for which there exists a map  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with  $g(0) = f(0) = 0$  such that*

$$\max\{D_{\mu} f(a, b, c), D_{\mu} g(a, b, c)\} \leq \varepsilon(\rho(a, 0)^p + \rho(b, 0)^p + \rho(c, 0)^p) \tag{33}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Then there exists a unique generalized Jordan derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\rho(\delta(a), f(a)) \leq \frac{2^p \varepsilon}{2 - 2^p} \rho(a, 0)^p \tag{34}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Put  $\varphi(a, b, c) := \varepsilon(\rho(a, 0)^p + \rho(b, 0)^p + \rho(c, 0)^p)$ . Then the result follows from Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

By using Theorem 3.1 we may solve the following generalized Hyers-Ulam stability problem for generalized Jordan derivations in Banach algebras.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a mapping for which there exist a map  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with  $g(0) = f(0) = 0$  and a function  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying (15) and (16) such that*

$$\|f\left(\frac{\mu a + \mu b}{2} + c^2\right) + f\left(\frac{\mu a - \mu b}{2}\right) - \mu f(a) - f(c)c - cg(c)\| \leq \varphi(a, b, c), \tag{35}$$

$$\|g(\mu a^2 + \mu b + \mu c) - \mu g(a)a - \mu a g(a) - \mu g(b) - \mu g(c)\| \leq \varphi(a, b, c) \tag{36}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Then there exists a unique generalized Jordan derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\rho(\delta(a), f(a)) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a) \tag{37}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a mapping for which there exist a map  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with  $g(0) = f(0) = 0$  and a function  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \varphi(2^i a, 2^i b, 2^i c) < \infty, \tag{38}$$

$$\|f(\frac{\mu a + \mu b}{2} + c^2) + f(\frac{\mu a - \mu b}{2}) - \mu f(a) - f(c)c - cg(c)\| \leq \varphi(a, b, c), \tag{39}$$

$$\|g(\mu a^2 + \mu b + \mu c) - \mu g(a)a - \mu a g(a) - \mu g(b) - \mu g(c)\| \leq \varphi(a, b, c) \tag{40}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Then there exist a unique generalized Jordan derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and a function  $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\rho(\delta(a), f(a)) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a) \tag{41}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a mapping for which there exist a map  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  and a function  $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying (17) such that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \varphi(\frac{a}{2^i}, 0, 0) < \infty, \tag{42}$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} 2^i \varphi(\frac{a}{2^i}, \frac{b}{2^i}, \frac{c}{2^i}) = 0 \tag{43}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Then there exists a unique generalized Jordan derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\rho(\delta(a), f(a)) \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a) \tag{44}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Letting  $a = b = c = 0$  in (43) we get  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} 2^i \varphi(0, 0, 0) = 0$  and so  $\varphi(0, 0, 0) = 0$ . Now put  $a = b = c = 0$  in (20) to find that  $D_{\mu} g(0, 0, 0) = 0$ . Thus,  $(2\mu - 1)g(0) = 0$ . Since  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ ,  $g(0) = 0$ . Put  $a = b = c = 0$  and  $\mu = 1$  in (19) to get  $D_{\mu} f(0, 0, 0) = 0$ . Since  $g(0) = 0$ , we conclude that  $f(0) = 0$ . By suitable replacements in (19) it is clear that the sequence  $\{2^m f(\frac{a}{2^m})\}$  converges for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . Define  $\delta(a) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^m f(\frac{a}{2^m})$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 and we omit it.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $p > 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be real numbers. Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a mapping for which there exists a map  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  satisfying (33). Then there exists a unique generalized Jordan derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\rho(\delta(a), f(a)) \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{2^p - 2} \rho(a, 0)^p \tag{45}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $\varphi(a, b, c) := \varepsilon(\rho(a, 0)^p + \rho(b, 0)^p + \rho(c, 0)^p)$  in Theorem 3.6 we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. Suppose that  $p \neq 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  are nonnegative real numbers and  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a mapping for which there exists a map  $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  with  $g(0) = f(0) = 0$  satisfying (33) such that

$$\|f(\frac{\mu a + \mu b}{2} + c^2) + f(\frac{\mu a - \mu b}{2}) - \mu f(a) - f(c)c - cg(c)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|a\|^p + \|b\|^p + \|c\|^p), \tag{46}$$

$$\|g(\mu a^2 + \mu b + \mu c) - \mu g(a)a - \mu a g(a) - \mu g(b) - \mu g(c)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|a\|^p + \|b\|^p + \|c\|^p) \tag{47}$$

for all  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$ . Then there exists a unique generalized Jordan derivation  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\|\delta(a) - f(a)\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{|2 - 2^p|} \|a\|^p \tag{48}$$

for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Corollary 3.3 and Corollary 3.7 by putting  $\rho(a, b) = \|a - b\|$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan. **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [2] R. Badora, *On approximate derivations*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **9** (2006), 167–173.
- [3] M. Bavand Savadkouhi, M. E. Gordji, J. M. Rassias and N. Ghobadipour, *Approximate ternary Jordan derivations on Banach ternary algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009), 9 pages.
- [4] S. Czerwik, *Stability of functional equations of Ulam-Hyers-Rassias type*, Hadronic Press, Florida, 2003.
- [5] A. Ebadian, N. Ghobadipour and M. E. Gordji, *A fixed point method for perturbation of bimultipliers and Jordan bimultipliers in  $C^*$ -ternary algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **51**, 1 (2010), 10 pages, DOI:10.1063/1.3496391.
- [6] A. Ebadian, A. Najati and M. E. Gordji, *On approximate additive-quartic and quadratic-cubic functional equations in two variables on Abelian groups*, Results. Math. **58**, (2010), 39–53, DOI:10.1007/s00025-010-0018-4.
- [7] A. Ebadian, I. Nikoufar, and M. E. Gordji, *Nearly  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \phi)$ -derivations on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, Int. J. Geom. Methods. Mod. Phys., vol. **9**, no. 3, 1250019, (2012), 12 pages.
- [8] M. Eshaghi Gordji and N. Ghobadipour, *Stability of  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ -derivation on Lie  $C^*$ -algebras*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Physics, Vol. **7**, No. 7 (2010), 1–10, DOI:10.1142/S0219887810004737.
- [9] M. Eshaghi Gordji and N. Ghobadipour, *Nearly generalized Jordan derivations*, Math. Slovaca, Vol. **61**, No. 1, (2011), 55–62, DOI: 10.2478/s12175-010-0059-x.
- [10] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. Ramezani, A. Ebadian and C. Park, *Quadratic double centralizers and quadratic multipliers*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara (2011), **57**: 27–38, DOI:10.1007/s11565-011-0115-7
- [11] M. S. Moslehian, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized derivations*, Int. J. Math. Sci. vol. (2006), 1–8, Article ID 93942.
- [12] C. Park, *Homomorphisms between Poisson  $JC^*$ -algebras*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **36** (2005), 79–97.
- [13] C. Park, *Lie  $*$ -homomorphisms between Lie  $C^*$ -algebras and Lie  $*$ -derivations on Lie  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **293** (2004), no. 2, 419–434.
- [14] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Int. J. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434.
- [15] P. Gavruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [16] P. Gavruta and L. Gavruta, *A new method for the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability*, Int. J. Nonlinear. Anal. Appl. **1** (2010), no. 2, 11–18.
- [17] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [18] D. H. Hyers, G. Issac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.
- [19] H. Khodaei and Th. M. Rassias, *Approximately generalized additive functions in several variables*, Int. J. Nonlinear. Anal. Appl., **1** (2010), no. 1, 22–41.
- [20] T. Miura, S. E. Takahashi and G. Hirasawa, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jordan homomorphisms on Banach algebras*, J. Inequal. Appl. **1** (2004), 435–441.
- [21] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [22] Th. M. Rassias, *Problem 16; 2, Report of the 27th International symposium on functional equations*, Aequationes Math. vol. **39** (1990), 292–293; 309.
- [23] Th. M. Rassias and P. Semrl, *On the behaviour of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 989–993.
- [24] S. M. Ulam, *A collection of mathematical problems*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Interscience Publisher, New York, 1960.