Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.yu/filomat

Filomat 22:1 (2008), 139–144

# GENERIC WARPED PRODUCT SUBMANIFOLDS IN A KAEHLER MANIFOLD

#### K.A. Khan, Shahid Ali and Nargis Jamal

#### Abstract

In this paper we have shown that there do not exist proper warped product submanifolds of the type  $N \times_f N_T$  and  $N_T \times_f N$  where  $N_T$ is an invariant and N is any real non-anti invariant submanifold of a Kaehler manifold. We thus generalize the results of B. Sahin [10] who projected same results for a restricted class, the class of warped product submanifolds  $N_{\theta} \times_f N_T$  and  $N_T \times_f N_{\theta}$ .

### 1. Introduction

Bishop and O'Niell [2] introduced the concept of warped product manifolds to study manifolds of negative curvature and applied the scheme to space-time. The geometrical aspect of these manifolds have attracted the attention of a lot of researchers recently [6], [8], [10]. Many research papers have appeared to see the existence of warped product submanifolds of manifolds under different settings after it was found that the space around a body with high gravitational field can be modeled on a warped product manifold.

B.Y.Chan [6] studied warped product CR-submanifolds of the type  $N_{\perp} \times_f N_T$ and  $N_T \times_f N_{\perp}$  of a Keahler manifold  $\overline{M}$ , where  $N_T$  is an invariant and  $N_{\perp}$  is an anti invariant submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ . He has shown that there do not exist proper warped product submanifolds of the type  $N_{\perp} \times_f N_T$ , when as he and others found many examples of warped product submanifolds of type  $N_T \times_f N_{\perp}$ in a Kaehler manifold. B. Sahin extended the study to slant warped product submanifolds of the type  $M = N_T \times_f N_{\theta}$  and  $M = N_{\theta} \times_f N_T$  of a Kaehler manifold  $\overline{M}$ , where  $N_T$  is an invariant and  $N_{\theta}$  is a proper slant submanifolds of  $\overline{M}$ , and showed that they do not exist in either case.

In this paper, we have generalized the results of Chen [6] [7] and Sahin [10] and have shown that there are no proper warped product submanifolds of the type  $M = N \times_f N_T$  and  $M = N_T \times_f N$ , where  $N_T$  is a invariant and N is any real non-anti invariant submanifold of a Kaehler manifold. We thus have extended this study to generic warped product submanifolds of Kaehler manifold.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C40, 53B25.

 $Keywords\ and\ Phrases.$  Warped product submanifold, Kaehler manifold, generic manifold.

Received: July 26, 2007

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

### 2. Some Basic Results

Let  $\overline{M}$  be a Kaehler manifold with a complex structure J, Hermitian metric g and the Levi-Civita connection  $\overline{\nabla}$ . Then we have

$$J^2 = -I, \quad g(JU, JV) = g(U, V), \quad \bar{\nabla}J = 0$$
 (2.1)

for all vector fields U, V on  $\overline{M}$ .

Let  $\overline{M}$  be a Kaehler manifold with a complex structure J, and M be a submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ . The induced Riemannian metric on M is denoted by the same symbol g whereas the induced connection on M is denoted by  $\nabla$ . Then M is called holomorphic if  $JT_pM \subset T_pM$ , for every  $p \in M$ , where  $T_pM$  denotes the tangent space to M at the point p.

If  $T\overline{M}$  and TM denote the Lie-algebra of vector fields on  $\overline{M}$  and M respectively and  $T^{\perp}M$ , the set of all vector fields normal to M, then the Gauss and Weingarten formulae are respectively given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_U V = \nabla_U V + h(U, V), \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_U \xi = -A_\xi U + \nabla_U^{\perp} \xi \tag{2.3}$$

for each  $U, V \in TM$  and  $\xi \in T^{\perp}M$ , where  $\nabla^{\perp}$  denotes the connection on the normal bundle  $T^{\perp}M$ . h and  $A_{\xi}$  are the second fundamental forms and the shape operator of the immersion of M into  $\overline{M}$  corresponding to the normal vector field  $\xi$ . They are related as

$$g(A_{\xi}U, V) = g(h(U, V), \xi).$$
 (2.4)

For any  $U \in TM$  and  $\xi \in T^{\perp}M$ , we write

$$JU = PU + FU, (2.5)$$

$$J\xi = t\xi + f\xi, \tag{2.6}$$

where PU and  $t\xi$  are the tangential components of JU and  $J\xi$  respectively whereas FU and  $f\xi$  are the normal components of JU and  $J\xi$  respectively. The covariant differentiation of the tensors P, F, t and f are defined respectively as

$$(\bar{\nabla}_U P)V = \nabla_U PV - P\nabla_U V, \qquad (2.7)$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_U F)V = \nabla_U^{\perp} FV - F\nabla_U V, \qquad (2.8)$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_U t)\xi = \nabla_U t\xi - t\nabla_U^{\perp}\xi, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_U f)\xi = \nabla_U^{\perp} f\xi - f\nabla_U^{\perp} \xi.$$
(2.10)

Let  $\overline{M}$  be an almost Hermition manifold with an almost complex structure J, Hermitian metric g and M be a submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ . For each  $x \in M$ , let  $D_x = T_x M \cap JT_x M$  i.e., a maximal holomorphic subspace of the tangent space  $T_x M$  at  $x \in M$ . If the dimension of  $D_x$  remains the same for each  $x \in M$ 

and it defines a holomorphic distribution D on M, then M is called a generic submanifold [4].

A generic submanifold M of an almost Hermition manifold  $\overline{M}$  is said to be generic product submanifold if it is locally a Riemannian product of the leaves of D and D', where D' is orthogonal complementry distribution to D in TM. In this case D and D' are parallel on M i.e.,  $\nabla_U X \in D$  or equivalently  $\nabla_U Z \in D'$ for all  $U \in TM$ ,  $X \in D$  and  $Z \in D'$ .

Now we consider warped product of manifolds which are defined as follows **Definition 2.1.** Let  $(B, g_B)$  and  $(F, g_F)$  be two Riemannian manifolds with Riemannian metrics  $g_B$  and  $g_F$  respectively and f be a positive differentiable function on B. The warped product of B and F is the Riemannian manifold  $(B \times F, g)$ , where

$$g = g_B + f^2 g_F. (2.11)$$

The warped product manifold  $(B \times F, g)$  is denoted by  $B \times_f F$ . If U is tangent to  $M = B \times_f F$  at (p, q) then by equation (2.11),

$$||U||^{2} = ||d\pi_{1}U||^{2} + f^{2}(p)||d\pi_{2}U||^{2}$$

where  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are the canonical projections of M onto B and F respectively.

On a warped product manifold  $B \times_f F$  one has

$$\nabla_U V = \nabla_V U = (Ulnf)V \tag{2.12}$$

for any vector fields U tangent to B and V tangent to F [2].

#### 3. Generic Warped Product Submanifolds

In this section we study generic warped product submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold  $\overline{M}$  of the form  $M = N_T \times_f N$ ,  $M = N \times_f N_T$  respectively, where  $N_T$  is a holomorphic submanifold and N is any real non anti-invariant submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** There do not exist proper generic warped product submanifold  $M = N \times_f N_T$  of a Kaehler manifold  $\overline{M}$ , where  $N_T$  is an invariant submanifold and N is any real non anti-invariant submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ .

**Proof.** For any  $X \in TN_T$  and  $U \in TM$  using (2.12) we obtain

$$g(\overline{\nabla}_X X, U) = -g(\overline{\nabla}_X U, X)$$
$$= -g(\nabla_X U, X)$$
$$= -U \ln f ||X||^2$$
(3.1)

But, we also have

$$g(\nabla_X X, U) = g(J\nabla_X X, JU)$$

$$= g(\overline{\nabla}_X JX, JU)$$

$$= -g(\overline{\nabla}_X JU, JX)$$

$$= -g(\overline{\nabla}_X PU, JX) - g(\overline{\nabla}_X FU, JX)$$

$$= -PU \ln fg(X, JX) + g(A_{FU}X, JX)$$

$$= g(h(X, JX), FU) \qquad (3.2)$$

Thus from (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$g(h(X, JX), FU) = -U \ln f ||X||^2$$
(3.3)

Now replacing X by JX in (3.3), we obtain

$$g(h(JX, J^{2}X), FU) = -U \ln f ||X||^{2}$$
$$-g(h(X, JX), FU) = -U \ln f ||X||^{2}$$
$$g(h(X, JX), FU) = U \ln f ||X||^{2}$$
(3.4)

Thus from (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$U \ln f \|X\|^2 = 0$$

for all  $U \in TM$ . Which implies that f is constant or X = 0. Hence the theorem is proved.

We now interchange the factors N and  $N_T$  and prove the following: **Theorem 3.2.** There do not exist proper generic warped product submanifold  $M = N_T \times_f N$  of a Kaehler manifold  $\overline{M}$ , where  $N_T$  is a holomorphic submanifold and N is any real non anti-invariant submanifold of  $\overline{M}$ .

**Proof.** For any  $U, V \in TM$  and using the fact that  $\overline{M}$  is kaehler, we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_U JV = J\bar{\nabla}_U V,$$

therefore,

$$\bar{\nabla}_U PV + \bar{\nabla}_U FV = J(\nabla_U V + h(U, V)),$$

On using (2.2), (2.3), (2.5), we have

$$\nabla_U PV + h(U, PV) - A_{FV}U + \nabla_U^{\perp} FV = P\nabla_U V + F(\nabla_U V) + th(U, V) + fh(U, V).$$

Now, compairing tangential part and using (2.7), we obtain

$$(\bar{\nabla}_U P)V = A_{FV}U + th(U, V). \tag{3.5}$$

Now, for  $X \in TN_T$  and using (2.12), we get

Generic warped product submanifolds in a Kaehler manifold

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X P)U = \nabla_X PU - P\nabla_X U$$
$$= (Xlnf)PU - (Xlnf)PU$$
$$= 0.$$

Using it in (3.5), we get

$$A_{FU}X = -th(X,U). \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand

$$(\bar{\nabla}_U P)X = (PX\ln f)U - (X\ln f)PU. \tag{3.7}$$

Also from (3.5), we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_U P)X = th(X, U). \tag{3.8}$$

Thus from (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$(PX\ln f)U - (X\ln f)PU = th(X, U).$$
(3.9)

From (3.6) and (3.9), it follows that

$$(PX\ln f)U - (X\ln f)PU = -A_{FU}X.$$

Now taking inner product with PU in above equation we get

$$g(h(X, PU), FU) = X \ln f ||PU||^2.$$
(3.10)

Now, for  $U \in TN$ ,  $X \in TN_T$  we have

$$g(\bar{\nabla}_{PU}U, X) = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

Using the fact that  $J\overline{\nabla}_{PU}U = \overline{\nabla}_{PU}JU$  in (3.11), we get  $0 = g(\overline{\nabla}_{PU}JU, JX)$ 

$$= g(\overline{\nabla}_{PU}PU, JX) + g(\overline{\nabla}_{PU}FU, JX)$$

$$= g(\overline{\nabla}_{PU}PU, JX) - g(A_{FU}PU, JX)$$

$$= -g(\overline{\nabla}_{PU}JX, PU) - g(h(PU, JX), FU)$$

$$= -JX \ln f \|PU\|^2 - g(h(JX, PU), FU)$$

$$-g(h(JX, PU), FU) = JX \ln f ||PU||^2.$$
(3.12)

Replacing X by JX in (3.12), we get

$$-g(h(X, PU), FU) = X \ln f ||PU||^2.$$
(3.13)

143

Now (3.10) and (3.13) implies that

## $X\ln f = 0.$

Thus f is constant or X = 0, which proves the result.

## References

- Bejancu. A., Geometry of CR-Submanifolds, Kluwer. Aead. Publ. Dordreeht. 1986.
- [2] Bishop. R., and O'Niell., Manifold of negative curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (1969) 1-49.
- [3] Chen B. Y., Geometry of Submanifolds, Marcell Dekker.inc, New York, 1973.
- [4] Chen B. Y., Differential geometry of Real submanifold in Kaehler manifold, Monatsh. math. 91(1981), 257-274.
- [5] Chen B. Y., Geometry of Slant submanifold, Katholieke University Leuven, Leuven 1990.
- [6] Chen B. Y., Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifold I, Monatsh. math. 133(2001), 177-195.
- [7] Chen B. Y., Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifold II, Monatsh. math. 134(2001), 103-119.
- [8] Chen B. Y., On isometric minimal immersions from wraped products into real space form, Proc. Edinburgn. Math. Soc. 45 (2002), 579-587.
- [9] Papaghiuc N., Semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifold, An. St. Univ. AI. I. Cuza. Iasi, 40(1994), 55-61.
- [10] Sahin B., Nonexistence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifold, Geom. Dedicata (2005),

#### Address

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh -202 002, India *E-mail*: Khalid.mathematics@gmail.com jamal.nargis@gmail.com